UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/727,310	12/02/2003	Jonathan T. Foote	FXPL-01059US0	5171
23910 FLIESLER ME	7590 04/16/200 YER LLP	EXAMINER		
650 CALIFORN	NIA STREET	GOODCHILD, WILLIAM J		
14TH FLOOR SAN FRANCIS	SCO, CA 94108		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2145	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/16/2008	PAPER

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/727,310	FOOTE ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	WILLIAM J. GOODCHILD	2145			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	l. lely filed the mailing date of this communication. (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>02 December</u> 2a)    This action is <b>FINAL</b> .    2b)    This  3)    Since this application is in condition for allowant closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro				
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☒ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on <u>02 December 2003</u> is/ar Applicant may not request that any objection to the or Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction	vn from consideration.  relection requirement.  re: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected or by the objected or by t	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action of form PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 03/19/2004.	4)  Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5)  Notice of Informal P 6)  Other:	te			

Application/Control Number: 10/727,310 Page 2

Art Unit: 2145

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

2. Claims 1-41 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Kohtake et al., ("InfoStick: An interaction device for Inter-Appliance Computing"), (hereinafter Kohtake).

Regarding claims 1, 11, 22 and 31, Kohtake discloses transmitting a select signal to a first control device associated with a source device using an remote control [Section 2 InfoStick Device, paragraph 2 "get button"];

transmitting a pasted signal to a second control device associated with a sink device using the remote control [Section 2 InfoStick Device, paragraph 2 "put button"];

in response to the transmitting of the select and paste signals, transferring media data from the source device to the sink device [Section 2 InfoStick Device, paragraph 2 and paragraph 5];

Regarding claims 2 and 24, Kohtake further discloses displaying the media data at the sink device [Section 2 InfoStick Device, paragraph 4, lines 5-8].

Regarding claims 3, 15, 26 and 37, Kohtake further discloses the selected and paste signals are transmitted wirelessly to the first and second control devices [Section 2 InfoStick Device, paragraph 2, lines 6-7].

Art Unit: 2145

Regarding claim 4, Kohtake further discloses the select and paste signals are transmitted as electromagnetic signals to the first and second control devices [Section 2 InfoStick Device, paragraph 2, lines 6-7].

Regarding claims 5 and 17, Kohtake further discloses the sink device is a virtual sink located in a separate location from the second control device [Section 2 InfoStick Device, paragraph 5 and Section 3.1 Transferring Information between Computers, paragraph 2].

Regarding claims 6 and 18, Kohtake further discloses the sink devices is a virtual sink located in a separate location from the second control device [Section 2 InfoStick Device, paragraph 5 and Section 3.1 Transferring Information between Computers, paragraph 2].

Regarding claims 7, 19, 28 and 39, Kohtake further discloses the sink device is a media bus which acts as a media connection to another location [Section 3.5 Getting information from one object and Putting it into many objects, paragraph 1].

Regarding claims 8 and 29, Kohtake further discloses the media data is converted to a format desirable for the sink device [Section 3.4 Putting information onto paper, paragraph 1].

Regarding claims 9 and 20, Kohtake further discloses a sink device is associated with more than one control devices, wherein one of the control devices is associated with multiple sink devices [Section 3.5 Getting information from one object and Putting it into many objects, paragraph 1].

Regarding claims 10, 21 and 30, Kohtake further discloses feedback is provided to a user when a selector paste signal is transmitted [Section 2 InfoStick Device, paragraph 2].

Art Unit: 2145

Regarding claims 12, 33 and 40, Kohtake further discloses the logic includes a switching matrix [Section 4.2 Software Architecture, paragraph 1].

Regarding claims 13 and 34, Kohtake further discloses the logic includes a central controller [Figure 10 – controller].

Regarding claims 14 and 35, Kohtake further discloses the logic includes a format converter to convert the format of the media data [Section 3.5 Getting information from one object and Putting it into many objects, paragraph 1].

Regarding claim 16, Kohtake further discloses the remote control is an infrared remote control [Section 6 Conclusion and Future Work, paragraph 2].

Regarding claims 23 and 32, Kohtake further discloses the selecting of the media data includes pushing a select button and wherein the pasting includes pushing a paste button[Section 2 InfoStick Device, paragraph 2 "get / put button"].

Regarding claims 25 and 36, Kohtake further discloses the handheld controller is a six-degrees-of-freedom controller [Section 4.1 Hardware Architecture, paragraph 1].

Regarding claims 27 and 38, Kohtake further discloses the source and sink devices are associated with predetermined control windows that help define whether the handhold controller is pointed at the source or sink device [Section 4.2 Software Architecture, paragraph 1].

Regarding claim 41, Kohtake further discloses the network is the Internet [Section 2 InfoStick Device, paragraph 1].

Application/Control Number: 10/727,310 Page 5

Art Unit: 2145

Conclusion

3. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should

be directed to WILLIAM J. GOODCHILD whose telephone number is (571)270-1589. The examiner can

normally be reached on Monday - Friday / 9:00 AM - 5:00 PM EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor,

Jason Cardone can be reached on (571) 272-3933. The fax phone number for the organization where

this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application

Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from

either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through

Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC)

at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative

or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-

1000.

WJG

03/20/2008

/Jason D Cardone/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2145